Employer Branding in Educational Institutions: Perspectives and Research Directions

Jai Ganesh M N

ganesh16@sdmimd.ac.in

Abstract

Employer branding has gained prominence as a strategic approach to attract, engage, and retain talented professionals across sectors. While the concept has been extensively explored in corporate contexts, its relevance and adaptation within educational institutions have received comparatively limited scholarly attention. This paper presents an integrative narrative review examining how employer branding has been theorised and practised in the education sector, particularly within higher education. The review synthesises theoretical and empirical insights related to institutional reputation, organisational culture, academic work environment, and the employee value proposition as key factors shaping perceptions of educational institutions as employers of choice. It further highlights how educational settings diverge from corporate models due to their academic ethos, governance structures, and intrinsic value systems. By consolidating existing perspectives and identifying conceptual and methodological gaps, the paper proposes emerging themes and future research directions that can guide educational leaders and scholars in strengthening employer branding as a strategic tool for human capital development.

Keywords: *Employer Branding; Educational Institutions; Organisational Culture; Institutional Reputation; Talent Attraction and Retention.*

Introduction

Employer branding is the deliberate effort by an organisation to present itself as an attractive place to work for current and prospective employees. It sits at the intersection of HR and marketing, framing how an organisation defines and communicates "what it is like to work here" and why a capable person should choose, and continue, to work with it (Francis & Rangasamy, 2025).

Employer branding has emerged as a critical strategic tool in contemporary human resource management, representing the process through which organisations position themselves as attractive employers in competitive labour markets. The concept, first articulated by Ambler and Barrow (1996), refers to the package of functional, economic, and psychological benefits provided by employment and identified with the employing company. Over the past three decades, employer branding has evolved from a peripheral marketing concept to a central pillar of talent management strategy, particularly in knowledge-intensive sectors where human capital serves as the primary source of competitive advantage.

While employer branding has been extensively studied in corporate contexts, its application within educational institutions has received comparatively limited scholarly attention. This gap is particularly significant given that educational institutions operate in increasingly competitive environments characterised by faculty shortages, rising expectations for work-life balance, and intensifying competition for academic talent (Bendaraviciene et al., 2013). Universities and colleges worldwide face

mounting pressure to attract and retain qualified academics and administrative staff while simultaneously managing resource constraints and maintaining their core academic missions.

Educational institutions differ fundamentally from corporate organisations in several respects. They are guided by academic values such as intellectual freedom, collegial governance, and commitment to public service rather than profit maximisation (Saurombe et al., 2017). The academic labour market exhibits unique characteristics, including specialised disciplinary identities, the importance of research autonomy, and the centrality of mentoring relationships (Brosi & Welpe, 2014). These distinctive features suggest that employer branding strategies developed for corporate settings may require substantial adaptation when applied to educational contexts.

Despite growing recognition that educational institutions must compete strategically for talent, the theoretical understanding and empirical evidence regarding employer branding in this sector remain fragmented. Existing research has primarily focused on corporate employer branding, with educational institutions receiving attention only in recent years (Lenka & Chawla, 2015). This creates challenges for educational leaders and human resource practitioners who seek evidence-based guidance for developing and implementing employer branding strategies. Furthermore, important questions remain about how non-monetary factors such as intellectual autonomy compare with compensation in shaping institutional attractiveness, what role institutional reputation plays in attracting academic talent, and how public and private institutions differ in their employer brand perceptions.

This paper presents a review of employer branding research in educational institutions, with particular emphasis on higher education. The review aims to achieve four primary objectives: (1) to synthesise theoretical perspectives and empirical findings on employer branding within educational contexts, identifying key dimensions and their relationships with talent attraction, engagement, and retention; (2) to examine how employer branding in educational institutions diverges from corporate models; (3) to critically analyse existing research, identifying conceptual, methodological, and contextual gaps; and (4) to propose future research directions and practical implications that can guide scholars, educational leaders, and human resource practitioners.

Methodology

This paper adopts an integrative narrative review approach to synthesise existing literature on employer branding in educational institutions. Unlike systematic reviews that follow rigid protocols for study selection and meta-analysis, narrative reviews allow for broader interpretation and thematic synthesis of diverse research perspectives, making them particularly suitable for emerging research domains where conceptual integration is needed (Baumeister & Leary, 1997).

The review is based on a purposive selection of 26 studies that specifically examine employer branding within educational contexts, particularly higher education institutions. These studies were identified through a comprehensive examination of research published between 2013 and 2025, representing the most active period of scholarly inquiry in this domain. The included studies span multiple geographic contexts, providing a diverse international perspective.

The selection criteria prioritised studies that (a) explicitly addressed employer branding as a central construct, (b) focused on educational institutions as the primary research setting, (c) examined relationships between employer branding and relevant outcomes such as attraction, retention, engagement, or satisfaction, and (d) provided sufficient methodological detail to assess the quality and credibility of findings. Both empirical studies employing quantitative, qualitative, and mixed

methods designs, as well as conceptual papers offering theoretical frameworks, were included to capture the breadth of scholarly perspectives.

The analytical approach involved thematic synthesis, whereby key findings, concepts, and insights from the reviewed studies were systematically extracted, coded, and organised into coherent themes. This process involved multiple readings of each study to identify patterns, convergences, and divergences in how employer branding has been conceptualised and operationalised in educational settings. The review also incorporated a critical analytical lens, examining not only what has been discovered but also what remains underexplored, enabling the identification of research gaps and the formulation of meaningful directions for future inquiry.

Theoretical Foundations of Employer Branding

Core Concepts

Employer branding refers to the strategic process of developing and communicating a distinctive organizational identity as an employer to current and potential employees. At its core, employer branding encompasses the sum of functional, economic, and psychological benefits that employment with an organization provides (Ambler & Barrow, 1996). Central to employer branding is the Employee Value Proposition (EVP), which articulates the unique set of benefits, opportunities, and values that an organization offers in exchange for the skills, capabilities, and commitment that employees bring (Berthon et al., 2005). In educational contexts, the EVP encompasses both tangible factors such as compensation and career development opportunities, and intangible factors such as academic freedom, institutional reputation, and the opportunity to contribute to knowledge creation and societal advancement.

Theoretical Underpinnings

Several theoretical frameworks provide conceptual foundations for understanding employer branding in educational settings. Social Identity Theory posits that individuals derive part of their self-concept from their organizational membership and seek association with organizations that enhance their social identity (Tajfel & Turner, 1979). In educational contexts, affiliation with prestigious institutions or those with strong research reputations can significantly enhance academic professionals' sense of identity and self-worth (Hamidizadeh & Mohammadnezhad Fadardi, 2019).

Social Exchange Theory suggests that employment relationships are characterised by reciprocal exchanges where employees contribute effort and commitment in return for rewards and recognition from the organization (Blau, 1964). This perspective helps explain why certain employer branding dimensions, particularly those related to development opportunities and recognition, influence retention decisions in educational institutions (Hadi & Ahmed, 2018). When institutions invest in faculty development and create supportive work environments, they foster reciprocal commitments that strengthen retention.

Signalling Theory offers insights into how organizations communicate their attractiveness to potential employees. This theory suggests that employer branding activities serve as signals of organizational characteristics and employment experiences that candidates cannot directly observe prior to joining (Spence, 1973). In academic labour markets, factors such as research funding availability, mentorship

Shri Dharmasthala Manjunatheshwara Institute for Management Development, Mysuru, India
**13th International Conference on "HR 5.0: The Human-Centric Future of Work",
on 18 and 19 December 2025**

quality, and tenure track positions serve as powerful signals of institutional commitment to academic excellence (Brosi & Welpe, 2014).

Person-Organization Fit theory emphasises the importance of congruence between individual values and organizational culture in shaping attraction, satisfaction, and retention (Kristof, 1996). This framework is particularly salient in educational institutions where academic values, collegial culture, and institutional mission play central roles in shaping employee experiences. Faculty members who perceive strong alignment between their personal academic values and institutional priorities demonstrate higher commitment and lower turnover intentions (Rani et al., 2023).

Framework Components

The employer branding literature distinguishes between internal and external employer branding. Internal employer branding focuses on current employees, aiming to enhance their engagement, commitment, and willingness to serve as brand ambassadors (Ikram et al., 2021). External employer branding targets potential employees, communicating the organization's value proposition through recruitment marketing, institutional reputation management, and strategic positioning in the academic labour market (Radzeviciene & Sokolova, 2020).

Research also distinguishes between instrumental and symbolic attributes of employer branding. Instrumental attributes refer to tangible, objective characteristics of employment such as compensation, job security, career advancement opportunities, and working conditions (Lievens & Highhouse, 2003). Symbolic attributes encompass intangible, subjective associations related to organizational prestige, innovativeness, competence, and social responsibility (Momand et al., 2022). In educational institutions, both instrumental factors like competitive salaries and proper research facilities, and symbolic factors such as institutional reputation and commitment to academic excellence, jointly influence employer attractiveness.

Employer Branding in Educational Institutions

Dimensions of Employer Branding in Education

The literature reveals multiple dimensions that collectively shape employer branding in educational institutions, with manifestations that differ from corporate contexts.

Organizational Culture and Work Environment emerge as a foundational dimension. Educational institutions characterised by collegial relationships, intellectual stimulation, and supportive work environments demonstrate stronger employer brand perceptions (Azam & Qureshi, 2021). The academic work environment encompasses teamwork, faculty autonomy, respectful interactions, and opportunities for scholarly collaboration. Research confirms that organizational culture significantly influences citizenship behaviour, job satisfaction, and retention intentions (Mishra & Subudhi, 2019). Unlike corporate settings where hierarchical structures often dominate, educational institutions that preserve collegial governance and academic freedom create more attractive employer brands.

Career Development and Training Opportunities constitute another critical dimension. Studies consistently identify development value as among the most influential predictors of talent retention in educational settings (Hadi & Ahmed, 2018; Taneja et al., 2024). Development opportunities encompass formal training programs, research support, conference participation, collaborative research networks, and clear pathways for academic progression. Educational institutions that invest in continuous professional development signal their commitment to faculty growth, which fosters reciprocal commitment and reduces turnover (Micheni & Wachira, 2022).

Compensation and Benefits represent essential instrumental attributes. While monetary compensation alone rarely determines academic career choices, inadequate or inequitable compensation systems create significant dissatisfaction and retention challenges (Bendaraviciene et al., 2014). Beyond base salary, benefits such as health insurance, retirement provisions, housing support, and research grants contribute substantially to perceived employer value, particularly in contexts where such benefits are not universally provided (Momand et al., 2022).

Work-Life Balance has gained increasing prominence, particularly following the COVID-19 pandemic. Educational institutions that provide flexible work arrangements, reasonable workload expectations, and support for personal and family responsibilities demonstrate stronger retention outcomes (Barik & Jain, 2022). Work-life balance holds particular importance for female faculty members and early-career academics who often face competing demands (Brosi & Welpe, 2014).

Institutional Reputation and Prestige function as powerful symbolic attributes in academic labour markets. Affiliation with institutions that possess strong research reputations, high rankings, and international recognition enhances academics' professional identities and career prospects (Azam & Qureshi, 2021). Institutional reputation serves multiple functions, acting simultaneously as an attraction mechanism for prospective employees, a source of pride for current employees, and a signal of quality to external stakeholders (Saurombe et al., 2017). However, reputation alone cannot compensate for deficiencies in working conditions or developmental opportunities.

Leadership and Governance influence employer brand perceptions through their impact on organizational climate. Supportive supervisory relationships, participative decision-making, transparent governance, and effective leadership contribute to positive employer brand perceptions (Matongolo et al., 2018). The quality of mentorship relationships, particularly for early-career faculty, emerges as a critical factor influencing satisfaction and retention (Brosi & Welpe, 2014).

Corporate Social Responsibility and Values Alignment represent emerging dimensions particularly salient for contemporary academic talent. Educational institutions that demonstrate commitment to societal impact, ethical practices, diversity and inclusion, and environmental sustainability attract employees who seek meaningful work aligned with their personal values (Ikram et al., 2021). In educational contexts, CSR manifests through community engagement initiatives, research addressing societal challenges, equitable access policies, and commitment to social justice.

Outcomes of Employer Branding

The literature demonstrates that employer branding influences multiple organisational outcomes in educational institutions.

Attraction of Academic and Administrative Talent represents the most direct outcome of external employer branding. Institutions with strong employer brands experience higher application rates, attract candidates from more prestigious institutions, and compete more successfully for top talent (Yameen et al., 2021). Research using conjoint analysis reveals that specific attributes such as mentorship quality, scientific autonomy, and research funding availability significantly influence application intentions among international postdoctoral candidates (Brosi & Welpe, 2014).

Employee Retention emerges as a critical outcome across virtually all reviewed studies. Strong employer brand perceptions significantly reduce turnover intentions and increase tenure duration (Matongolo et al., 2018; Muma et al., 2019). The relationship between employer branding and retention appears mediated by job satisfaction, organizational commitment, and person-organization fit (Hamidizadeh & Mohammadnezhad Fadardi, 2019). Studies conducted during the COVID-19

pandemic reveal that institutions with established strong employer brands demonstrated greater resilience in retaining faculty despite economic uncertainty (Barik & Jain, 2022).

Employee Engagement and Organizational Citizenship Behaviour constitute important outcomes. Educational institutions with strong internal employer brands foster higher levels of discretionary effort, collaborative behaviour, and voluntary contributions beyond formal role requirements (Mishra & Subudhi, 2019). Faculty members who perceive their institutions as attractive employers demonstrate greater willingness to mentor students, participate in committee work, and contribute to institutional reputation building.

Job Satisfaction and Performance represent additional outcomes influenced by employer branding dimensions. Studies demonstrate that specific employer brand attributes, particularly development value, social value, and work-life balance, significantly predict job satisfaction (Rani et al., 2023). Job satisfaction in turn influences teaching effectiveness, research productivity, and service quality (Basha & Naidu, 2019). However, the literature reveals complexity in these relationships, with some studies finding that satisfaction predicts retention better than productivity, while organizational commitment shows the opposite pattern (Hamidizadeh & Mohammadnezhad Fadardi, 2019).

Contextual Factors and Variations

The effectiveness and manifestation of employer branding vary significantly across contexts.

Public versus Private Institutions demonstrate distinct dynamics. Public institutions often benefit from perceived job security and permanent employment schemes, which enhance retention (Ikram et al., 2021). Conversely, private institutions face higher turnover risks and must compensate through stronger emphasis on development opportunities, performance-based rewards, and innovative work cultures (Abbas & Arief, 2023). Public institutions may rely more on symbolic attributes such as social prestige, while private institutions often compete through instrumental attributes.

Geographic and Cultural Differences shape employer brand priorities. Studies from developing countries emphasize basic working conditions, job security, and location safety as critical attributes, whereas research from developed contexts reveals greater emphasis on innovation, global exposure, and research autonomy (Momand et al., 2022). Cultural values influence which dimensions resonate most strongly, with collectivist cultures placing greater emphasis on organizational harmony and team relationships, while individualist cultures prioritize autonomy and personal advancement.

Discipline-Specific Considerations introduce additional complexity. Academics in technical and scientific fields place greater importance on research infrastructure, funding availability, and technological resources, while those in humanities and social sciences emphasize teaching autonomy, intellectual community, and societal impact (Brosi & Welpe, 2014). Female academics demonstrate stronger preferences for mentorship quality and training opportunities compared to male colleagues. Early-career academics prioritize development opportunities and mentorship, while senior faculty place greater emphasis on research support and leadership roles.

Demographic Influences on employer brand perceptions emerge across multiple studies. Age and educational qualification levels influence how employees perceive and respond to employer branding initiatives, with older and more highly educated employees placing greater importance on employer brand factors (Rudhumbu et al., 2014). Gender differences appear particularly pronounced regarding work-life balance preferences and valuation of supportive organizational cultures (Momand et al., 2022).

Challenges and Barriers

Despite growing recognition of employer branding's importance, educational institutions face several challenges.

Limited Integration of HR and Marketing Functions emerges as a fundamental barrier. Effective employer branding requires coordination between human resource departments responsible for employment practices and marketing units responsible for institutional reputation management (Radzeviciene & Sokolova, 2020). However, many educational institutions operate with siloed functional structures, resulting in disconnected internal experiences and external communications.

Resource Constraints particularly affect institutions in developing countries and smaller private colleges. Implementing comprehensive employer branding initiatives requires investments in professional development programs, competitive compensation systems, modern infrastructure, and strategic communication campaigns (Rudhumbu et al., 2014). Resource-constrained institutions struggle to compete with better-funded peers, creating reinforcing cycles where weak employer brands limit talent acquisition.

Measuring Intangible Outcomes presents methodological challenges. While some employer branding outcomes such as application rates and turnover can be quantified relatively easily, others such as brand perception, organizational commitment, and reputational enhancement prove more difficult to measure systematically (Lenka & Chawla, 2015). The absence of validated measurement instruments specific to educational contexts limits institutions' ability to assess employer branding effectiveness.

Alignment Between External Image and Internal Reality represents perhaps the most critical challenge. Authentic employer branding requires consistency between what institutions promise in recruitment communications and what employees experience (Sharma, 2025). When gaps emerge between external employer brand claims and internal employment realities, negative consequences include employee disillusionment, negative word-of-mouth, and reputational damage. Educational institutions face scrutiny because academic communities value integrity and transparency.

Research Gaps and Critical Analysis

While the reviewed literature provides valuable insights, several significant gaps limit comprehensive understanding and practical application.

Methodological Gaps

The predominance of cross-sectional research designs represents a fundamental limitation. Most reviewed studies employ single-point-in-time data collection, which precludes understanding of how employer brand perceptions evolve over time or how branding initiatives produce effects across different temporal stages (Matongolo et al., 2018; Barik & Jain, 2022). Longitudinal research designs that track employer brand perceptions and retention outcomes over extended periods remain notably absent.

Sample size and composition issues constrain generalizability. Many investigations rely on relatively small samples from single institutions or limited geographic regions (Momand et al., 2022; Abbas & Arief, 2023). Convenience and purposive sampling techniques introduce potential selection biases. Furthermore, most studies focus exclusively on faculty perspectives, neglecting the experiences of administrative staff, support personnel, and institutional leaders.

The literature demonstrates limited methodological diversity, with quantitative survey research dominating the field. While several noteworthy qualitative studies provide rich contextual insights (Azam & Qureshi, 2021; Momand et al., 2022), truly integrated mixed methods designs that strategically combine qualitative depth with quantitative breadth remain rare. This methodological homogeneity limits the field's ability to develop nuanced understanding of how employer branding operates in practice.

Theoretical Gaps

Despite the availability of diverse theoretical frameworks, the literature demonstrates underutilization of theoretical perspectives beyond Social Exchange Theory and Social Identity Theory. Signalling Theory appears in only a handful of studies (Brosi & Welpe, 2014; Francis & Rangasamy, 2025). Similarly, psychological contract theory, organizational justice perspectives, and institutional theory remain largely unexplored despite their evident relevance.

The role of mediating and moderating variables receives insufficient attention. While some studies examine mediators such as job satisfaction and organizational commitment (Rani et al., 2023; Hamidizadeh & Mohammadnezhad Fadardi, 2019), systematic investigation of how and when employer branding produces effects remains limited. Potential moderators including leadership quality, institutional type, disciplinary culture, and individual difference variables warrant more comprehensive examination.

Theoretical integration across levels of analysis represents another gap. Employer branding operates simultaneously at institutional, departmental, and individual levels, yet most research treats it as a monolithic institutional phenomenon. Multi-level theoretical frameworks that explicitly address how macro-level institutional branding initiatives interact with meso-level departmental cultures and micro-level individual perceptions would enhance theoretical sophistication.

Contextual Gaps

Geographic concentration in specific regions limits the literature's global representativeness. While reviewed studies span multiple countries, substantial gaps exist regarding educational systems in Latin America, Africa beyond South Africa and East Africa, and many Asian contexts (Francis & Rangasamy, 2025). This geographic imbalance restricts understanding of how diverse educational traditions and cultural contexts shape employer branding dynamics.

Comparative research designs remain notably scarce. Few studies systematically compare employer branding across public and private institutions, developed and developing country contexts, or different institutional types such as research universities versus teaching-focused colleges (Ikram et al., 2021). Such comparative investigations would illuminate how contextual factors influence employer branding strategies and outcomes.

The literature demonstrates limited attention to emerging educational models. Research on employer branding in online universities, transnational education ventures, educational technology startups, and alternative higher education models remains minimal (Abbas & Arief, 2023). As educational delivery diversifies beyond traditional campus-based models, understanding how employer branding operates in these emerging contexts becomes increasingly important.

Non-teaching staff perspectives receive insufficient attention. Administrative professionals, technical staff, student services personnel, and support workers constitute significant portions of educational institutions' workforces, yet most research focuses exclusively on academic faculty. This narrow focus

---

overlooks how different employee groups may perceive employer brands differently and require tailored value propositions.

Practical Gaps

The translation from research findings to actionable implementation frameworks remains underdeveloped. While studies identify important employer branding dimensions and relationships, few provide detailed guidance on how educational leaders should design, implement, and evaluate employer branding initiatives (Lenka & Chawla, 2015). Practical tools such as assessment instruments, implementation roadmaps, and evaluation frameworks specific to educational institutions are notably absent.

Digital transformation and social media employer branding receive limited attention despite their growing importance. Contemporary talent acquisition increasingly occurs through digital channels, online employer review platforms, and social media networks, yet research on how educational institutions should navigate these digital environments remains sparse (Kruskovic et al., 2023). Understanding how institutional employer brands are constructed in digital spaces represents an important frontier.

The literature provides insufficient guidance on developing authentic and differentiated employee value propositions specific to educational contexts. While studies identify generic dimensions of employer attractiveness, the process of crafting distinctive EVPs that reflect unique institutional identities, missions, and cultures remains underexplored (Sharma, 2025). Educational institutions would benefit from frameworks that help them identify and articulate their unique value propositions.

Measurement and evaluation tools specifically designed and validated for educational contexts are lacking. While some studies develop scales to measure organizational attractiveness or employer brand perceptions (Bendaraviciene et al., 2014), comprehensive, validated instruments that institutions can readily adopt for diagnostic and evaluative purposes remain limited.

Future Research Directions

Building on the identified gaps, several specific directions can advance employer branding research in educational institutions.

Longitudinal and temporal research designs represent a critical priority. Future studies should track employer brand perceptions, employee attitudes, and retention outcomes across multiple time points to establish causal relationships and understand developmental dynamics. Research examining how employer branding initiatives produce effects over different time horizons, how critical incidents influence brand perceptions, and how generational cohorts experience employment differently would provide valuable insights. Panel studies following academic careers from recruitment through tenure and beyond could illuminate how employer brand factors influence decisions at different career stages.

Comparative and cross-cultural investigations would significantly advance contextual understanding. Studies comparing employer branding across institutional types, governance models, geographic regions, and cultural contexts could identify universal principles versus context-specific adaptations. Particularly valuable would be research examining how employer branding operates differently in developed versus developing countries, public versus private institutions, and research-intensive versus teaching-focused colleges.

Shri Dharmasthala Manjunatheshwara Institute for Management Development, Mysuru, India
**13th International Conference on "HR 5.0: The Human-Centric Future of Work",
on 18 and 19 December 2025**

Mixed-methods research integrating qualitative and quantitative approaches could provide richer understanding. Qualitative studies exploring how employees construct meaning around employment experiences, how employer brand perceptions form and change, and how organizational culture shapes branding effectiveness would complement quantitative investigations. Sequential designs where qualitative insights inform quantitative instrument development, or where quantitative findings prompt deeper qualitative exploration, would strengthen both theoretical and practical contributions.

Multi-level theoretical and empirical models should explicitly address how employer branding operates across institutional, departmental, and individual levels. Research examining how institutional-level branding initiatives interact with department-level cultures and individual-level perceptions would provide more nuanced understanding. Cross-level investigations could explore how institutional reputation moderates' relationships between department climate and individual retention, or how individual disciplinary identities shape responses to institutional employer branding messages.

Digital and social media employer branding requires systematic investigation. Future research should examine how educational institutions can effectively leverage digital channels for employer branding, how online employer reviews influence prospective employees' perceptions, and how social media presence shapes institutional attractiveness. Studies exploring how current employees serve as digital brand ambassadors and how institutions should respond to negative online reviews would provide practical guidance.

Exploration of emerging educational models would extend employer branding research into new contexts. Studies examining online universities, educational technology startups, micro-credential providers, and transnational education ventures could reveal how traditional employer branding frameworks require adaptation for non-traditional educational models. Research on how remote and hybrid work arrangements influence employer brand perceptions has become particularly relevant.

Investigation of policy impacts on employer branding represents an important but neglected direction. Education policies such as India's National Education Policy 2020, international quality assurance frameworks, and national research assessment systems shape institutional environments and academic work experiences (Sharma, 2025). Research examining how policy contexts influence employer branding strategies and how policy-driven transformations affect employee expectations would illuminate the broader ecosystem within which employer branding operates.

Development and validation of measurement instruments specific to educational contexts remains essential. Future research should develop comprehensive, psychometrically sound scales measuring employer brand strength, employee value proposition perceptions, and branding outcomes in educational settings. Such instruments should capture both universal dimensions and education-specific factors, demonstrate validity across diverse institutional types and cultural contexts, and provide practical diagnostic value.

Exploration of non-academic staff perspectives would address a significant population gap. Research examining how administrative professionals, technical staff, and support personnel perceive employer brands, what dimensions matter most to these groups, and how their experiences differ from faculty could inform more inclusive employer branding strategies.

Investigation of generational differences and evolving expectations would enhance understanding of temporal dynamics. As new generations of academics with different values, expectations, and career orientations enter educational institutions, research examining how employer brand priorities shift

Shri Dharmasthala Manjunatheshwara Institute for Management Development, Mysuru, India
13th International Conference on "HR 5.0: The Human-Centric Future of Work",
on 18 and 19 December 2025

across generations and how work-life integration expectations evolve would help institutions adapt their strategies to changing workforce characteristics.

Examination of employer branding in crisis contexts has gained relevance following the COVID-19 pandemic. Research exploring how strong employer brands buffer against crises, how institutions should adapt branding strategies during disruptions, and which employer brand dimensions provide resilience during challenging periods would offer important practical guidance.

Integration of sustainability and social justice perspectives represents an emerging frontier. Future research should examine how commitments to environmental sustainability, diversity and inclusion, social justice, and community engagement function as employer brand dimensions. Studies exploring how values-driven employer branding attracts purpose-oriented talent and how authentic versus performative commitments influence perceptions would address contemporary workforce expectations.

Implications for Practice

The synthesis of employer branding research yields several important implications for educational leaders, policy makers, and human resource practitioners.

For Educational Leaders

Educational leaders should recognize employer branding as a strategic priority rather than a peripheral human resource activity. The evidence demonstrates that strong employer brands significantly influence talent attraction, retention, engagement, and organizational citizenship behaviour, ultimately affecting institutional quality and performance (Mishra & Subudhi, 2019; Muma et al., 2019). Leaders should champion employer branding initiatives, allocate necessary resources, and integrate branding considerations into strategic planning processes.

Developing authentic and differentiated employee value propositions requires deep understanding of institutional identity, culture, and unique strengths. Rather than simply benchmarking against competitors or adopting generic best practices, educational leaders should identify what genuinely distinguishes their institutions as employers (Saurombe et al., 2017). This involves honest assessment of current strengths and weaknesses, engagement with employees to understand their experiences and aspirations, and articulation of value propositions that reflect institutional reality rather than aspirational rhetoric. Authenticity emerges as critical because academic communities quickly detect gaps between brand promises and employment experiences (Sharma, 2025).

Leaders must recognize that different employee constituencies may require tailored value propositions. The research reveals that academic and administrative staff have different priorities, that faculty in different disciplines value different aspects of employment, and that employees at different career stages and demographic backgrounds respond differently to employer brand attributes (Brosi & Welpe, 2014; Rudhumbu et al., 2014). While maintaining institutional coherence, leaders should allow for customization in how employer value propositions are communicated and delivered to diverse employee segments.

Aligning human resource strategy with institutional branding represents a fundamental requirement. Employer branding effectiveness depends on integration between what institutions promise externally and what they deliver internally through human resource policies, leadership practices, and organizational culture (Reddy & Padmini, 2024). Leaders should ensure that recruitment processes,

Shri Dharmasthala Manjunatheshwara Institute for Management Development, Mysuru, India
**13th International Conference on "HR 5.0: The Human-Centric Future of Work",
on 18 and 19 December 2025**

professional development systems, performance management approaches, compensation structures, and workplace policies collectively reinforce the desired employer brand.

Investment in employee experience and organizational culture yields significant returns. The literature consistently identifies organizational culture, work environment, supportive leadership, and collegial relationships as among the most influential employer brand dimensions (Azam & Qureshi, 2021; Barik & Jain, 2022). Leaders should prioritize creating positive work environments characterized by respect, trust, collaboration, and intellectual stimulation. While resource constraints may limit compensation competitiveness, investments in culture and climate often prove more feasible and equally influential.

For Policy Makers

Policy makers at national and regional levels should recognize that educational institutions' capacity to attract and retain talent directly affects educational quality and societal outcomes. Policies that support institutional capacity building in talent management, provide resources for faculty development, and enable competitive compensation contribute to stronger educational systems. Policy frameworks such as India's National Education Policy 2020 that emphasize research culture, multidisciplinary learning, and industry collaboration may reshape graduate expectations and necessitate corresponding adaptations in institutional employer branding strategies (Sharma, 2025).

Encouraging research-practice partnerships that translate employer branding scholarship into actionable guidance for educational institutions would strengthen both research relevance and institutional practice. Policy makers could facilitate networks connecting researchers, institutional leaders, and human resource practitioners to share knowledge, develop practical tools, and evaluate branding initiatives. Support for developing shared measurement frameworks and benchmarking systems would enable institutions to assess their employer brand strength relative to peers and track improvement over time.

Regulatory frameworks should support rather than constrain institutions' ability to develop competitive employee value propositions. Excessive standardization in compensation structures, rigid personnel policies, and bureaucratic constraints on human resource management limit institutions' ability to differentiate their employer brands and respond to diverse employee needs. Policy frameworks that provide flexibility while maintaining accountability enable institutions to develop distinctive and effective employer branding strategies.

For Human Resource Practitioners

Human resource practitioners should develop strategic competencies in employer branding, moving beyond traditional transactional personnel administration toward strategic talent management. This requires understanding labour market dynamics, developing assessment capabilities to evaluate employer brand strength, designing integrated recruitment and retention strategies, and measuring branding effectiveness through appropriate metrics (Lenka & Chawla, 2015).

Leveraging digital channels and social media for employer branding has become essential in contemporary talent markets. Practitioners should develop institutional presence on professional networks, employer review platforms, and social media channels where prospective employees seek information and form perceptions. This involves curating authentic employee testimonials, showcasing institutional culture and achievements, responding transparently to reviews and feedback, and engaging in digital employer brand management (Kruskovic et al., 2023).

Creating targeted branding strategies for academic versus administrative staff addresses the distinct needs and expectations of these constituencies. The research suggests that academic staff prioritize research support, intellectual autonomy, and scholarly community, while administrative staff may emphasize career advancement, professional development, and work-life balance (Radzeviciene & Sokolova, 2020). Differentiated recruitment messaging, onboarding experiences, and career development pathways that reflect these distinct priorities enhance employer branding effectiveness.

Implementing systematic assessment and continuous improvement approaches enables evidence-based employer branding. Practitioners should regularly measure employee perceptions through surveys, conduct exit interviews to understand retention challenges, monitor recruitment metrics to assess attraction effectiveness, and benchmark against peer institutions. This diagnostic information should inform targeted improvements in employment policies, workplace culture, and communication strategies.

Fostering employee brand ambassadorship amplifies employer branding reach and credibility. Current employees serve as the most authentic and influential sources of employer brand information through their professional networks, conference interactions, and online presence (Ikram et al., 2021). Practitioners should engage employees in branding initiatives, create opportunities for them to share positive experiences, recognize and reward brand ambassadorship, and ensure that employee experiences justify positive representation.

Conclusion

Employer branding has become a vital strategic priority for educational institutions operating in increasingly competitive talent markets. This review synthesises key theories and empirical findings, showing that employer branding in education involves interconnected dimensions such as culture, career growth, work-life balance, compensation, leadership quality, and values alignment. These factors collectively influence talent attraction, retention, engagement, and job satisfaction, though their importance varies across institutional types, cultures, and employee groups.

Unlike corporate settings, educational institutions are shaped by academic values—intellectual freedom, collegiality, research autonomy, mentorship, and societal impact. These non-monetary factors often outweigh financial incentives and make institutional reputation and academic prestige especially influential in academic labour markets.

However, the field still faces methodological, theoretical, and contextual gaps. There is a need for longitudinal and mixed-methods research, more diverse conceptual frameworks, multi-level analyses, and inclusion of varied institutional and staff perspectives. Practically, institutions require validated measurement tools, clearer implementation models, and stronger digital employer-branding guidance.

For practice, effective employer branding must be authentic, aligned with institutional strengths, and responsive to diverse employee needs. Leaders should treat it as a strategic priority, while HR practitioners strengthen competencies in branding, digital communication, and continuous improvement.

Looking forward, employer branding will gain importance amid generational shifts, policy reforms, technological disruptions, and post-pandemic changes in workforce expectations. Values such as sustainability, social responsibility, and meaningful work will increasingly define employer attractiveness.

Shri Dharmasthala Manjunatheshwara Institute for Management Development, Mysuru, India
13th International Conference on "HR 5.0: The Human-Centric Future of Work",
on 18 and 19 December 2025

Overall, employer branding is not only a recruitment or retention tool but a strategic approach to shaping institutional culture and enabling educational institutions to achieve their core mission. Institutions that craft compelling value propositions and deliver consistent employment experiences will be better positioned to attract and retain the talent essential for academic excellence.

References

Abbas, A. E., & Arief, N. N. (2023). Proposed employee retention strategy as the form of employer branding in an educational startup (Case: Vokraf). *International Journal of Current Science Research and Review, 6*(7), 4409–4419. https://doi.org/10.47191/ijcsrr/V6-i7-55

Ambler, T., & Barrow, S. (1996). The employer brand. *Journal of Brand Management, 4*(3), 185–206.

Azam, M., & Qureshi, J. A. (2021). Building employer brand image for accumulating intellectual capital: Exploring employees' perspective in higher educational institutes. *Estudios de Economía Aplicada, 39*(2), 1–15. http://dx.doi.org/10.25115/eea.v39i1.3805

Backhaus, K., & Tikoo, S. (2004). Conceptualizing and researching employer branding. *Career Development International, 9*(5), 501–517.

Barik, S., & Jain, S. (2022). Impact of employer branding on employee retention during COVID-19: An empirical study on private academic institutions. *IIMS Journal of Management Science, 16*(2). https://doi.org/10.1177/0976030X221118176

Basha, H. S. A., & Naidu, M. Y. (2019). Employer branding: A new facet of education sector. *PARIPEX – Indian Journal of Research, 8*(12), 139–141. https://doi.org/10.36106/paripex

Baumeister, R. F., & Leary, M. R. (1997). Writing narrative literature reviews. *Review of General Psychology, 1*(3), 311–320.

Bendaraviciene, R., Bakanauskiene, I., & Krikstolaitis, R. (2014). Development of a scale to measure organizational attractiveness for employer branding in higher education. Paper presented at EURAM 2014 Conference. https://ssrn.com/abstract=2952667

Bendaraviciene, R., Krikstis, R., & Turauskas, L. (2013). Exploring employer branding to enhance distinctiveness in higher education. *European Scientific Journal, 9*(19).

Berthon, P., Ewing, M., & Hah, L. L. (2005). Captivating company: Dimensions of attractiveness in employer branding. *International Journal of Advertising, 24*(2), 151–172.

Blau, P. M. (1964). *Exchange and power in social life*. Wiley.

Brosi, P., & Welpe, I. M. (2014). Employer branding for universities: What attracts international postdocs? *Journal of Business Economics, 84*(1), 123–153. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11573-014-0754-0

Francis, S., & Rangasamy, S. (2025). Unveiling future trends in employer branding: Systematic review and bibliometric analysis. *International Journal of Information Science and Management, 23*(1), 231–254. https://doi.org/10.22034/ijism.2024.2008914.1206

Hadi, N. U., & Ahmed, S. (2018). Role of employer branding dimensions on employee retention: Evidence from educational sector. *Administrative Sciences, 8*(3), 44. https://doi.org/10.3390/admsci8030044

Hamidizadeh, A., & Mohammadnezhad Fadardi, M. (2019). The brand of a university as an employer. *Human Systems Management, 38*(1), 73–86. https://doi.org/10.3233/HSM-180377

Ikram, A., Fiaz, M., Mahmood, A., Ahmad, A., & Ashfaq, R. (2021). Internal corporate responsibility as a legitimacy strategy for branding and employee retention: A perspective of higher education institutions. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity, 7*(1), 52. https://doi.org/10.3390/joitmc7010052

Kristof, A. L. (1996). Person-organization fit: An integrative review of its conceptualizations, measurement, and implications. *Personnel Psychology, 49*(1), 1–49.

Kruskovic, T., Ilic, B., & Andelic, S. (2023). Attracting and retaining employees as a result of effective employer brand management. *International Journal for Quality Research, 17*(4), 1195–1206. https://doi.org/10.24874/IJQR17.04-14

Lenka, U., & Chawla, S. (2015). Higher educational institutes as learning organizations for employer branding. *Industrial and Commercial Training, 47*(5), 265–276. https://doi.org/10.1108/ICT-01-2015-0001

Lievens, F., & Highhouse, S. (2003). The relation of instrumental and symbolic attributes to a company's attractiveness as an employer. *Personnel Psychology, 56*(1), 75–102.

Matongolo, A., Kasekende, F., & Mafabi, S. (2018). Employer branding and talent retention: Perceptions of employees in higher education institutions in Uganda. *Industrial and Commercial Training, 50*(5), 217–233. https://doi.org/10.1108/ICT-03-2018-0031

Micheni, F. N., & Wachira, K. (2022). Effects of employer branding on employee attraction and retention among institutions of higher learning; A case study of public universities in South Eastern Kenya. *International Journal of Business Management, 5*(2), 127–143.

Mishra, Y., & Subudhi, R. N. (2019). Technical and higher educational institutions of Odisha: A study on impact of employer branding and organisation attractiveness on citizenship behavior. *International Journal of Civil Engineering and Technology, 10*(1), 1965–1977. https://doi.org/10.34218/IJCIET.10.1.2019.179

Momand, A., Rizwan, A., & Ali, T. (2022). Attributes which improve the employer brand of private universities in Afghanistan: An instrumental-symbolic perspective. *Global Economics Review, VII*(IV), 18–32. https://doi.org/10.31703/ger.2022(VII-IV).02

Muma, M. M., Ochego, C., Nzulwa, J., Ombui, K., Odhiambo, R., & Lumiti, P. A. (2019). Influence of employer branding strategies on retention of employees in universities in Kenya. *International Journal of Social Science and Humanities Research, 7*(2), 68–95.

Radzeviciene, A., & Sokolova, A. (2020). Employer branding as recruitment and retention tool for the universities. Presented at International conference. https://doi.org/10.36315/2020end096

Rani, P., Taneja, D., Sangal, A., & Baisla, A. (2023). Bridging the gap: Employer branding link to turnover intentions and job satisfaction in educational organizations. *Educational Administration: Theory and Practice, 29*(4), 5642–5649. https://doi.org/10.53555/kuey.v29i4.2146

Reddy, K., & Padmini, V. (2024). The role of strategic HRM in strengthening internal brand building in higher education institutions. *International Journal of Scientific Research in Engineering and Management, 8*(8). https://doi.org/10.55041/IJSREM36955

Rudhumbu, N., Chikari, G., Svotwa, D., & Lukusa, J.-P. (2014). Use of employer branding as a tool for attracting and retaining talent: Evidence from private higher education institutions in Botswana. *Journal of Education and Practice, 5*(28).

Saurombe, M., Barkhuizen, E. N., & Schutte, N. E. (2017). Management perceptions of a higher educational brand for the attraction of talented academic staff. *SA Journal of Human Resource Management, 15*, a831. https://doi.org/10.4102/sajhrm.v15i0.831

Sharma, V. (2025). The strategic impact of NEP 2020 on employer branding practices in India. *International Journal of Trends in Emerging Research and Development, 3*(3), 51–56. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15690072

Spence, M. (1973). Job market signaling. *Quarterly Journal of Economics, 87*(3), 355–374.

Tajfel, H., & Turner, J. C. (1979). An integrative theory of intergroup conflict. In W. G. Austin & S. Worchel (Eds.), *The social psychology of intergroup relations* (pp. 33–47). Brooks/Cole.

Taneja, D., Rani, P., & Sangal, A. (2024). Employer branding and talent retention in private Indian HEIs, especially in light of nanoeducation advancements. *Nanotechnology Perceptions, 20*(S11), 719–729.

Yameen, M., Bharadwaj, S., & Ahmad, I. (2021). University brand as an employer: Demystifying employee attraction and retention. *Vilakshan – XIMB Journal of Management, 18*(1), 26–41. https://doi.org/10.1108/XJM-08-2020-0061